

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/893,775	06/29/2001	Will H. Gardenswartz	209745US25XCONT	5962
22850	7590 10/12/2004		EXAMINER	
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.			CHAMPAGNE, DONALD	
	1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			DATE MAILED: 10/12/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

To!TC2100

Application No. Applicant(s) 09/893.775 GARDENSWARTZ ET AL. Advisory Action Art Unit **Examiner** 2122 Donald L. Champagne -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --THE REPLY FILED 23 September 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)] a) The period for reply expires _____months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on _____. Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: (a) Method they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); (c) Method they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: Final means final. New amendments requiring search are not considered after final. 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) ____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See "10." below. 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. \boxtimes For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) \boxtimes will not be entered or b) \square will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: _____. Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-9,15-23,29-37 and 44-53. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: ____ 8. The drawing correction filed on ____ is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). _ 10. Other: See Continuation Sheet DONALD L. CHAMPAGNE

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)

--- Advisory Action

Donald L. Champagne Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2122

Part of Paper No. 20041006

Continuation of 10. Other: In reply to applicant's first point (p. 14, center), the rejection (mailed on 18 August 2004, para. 8) is now based on US pat. 5,754,938 (Herz et al., not Hertz et al.). In reply to applicant's second point (also p. 14), please read the interview summary, which refers to the Stein reference. No agreement was "reversed" since the rejection is now based on Herz et al. As to the arguments on pp. 14-15, they are based on a piece-meal reading of Herz et al. Applicant's remaining points on pp. 15-17 are also based on piece-meal readings of the references. "One cannot show nonobviousness by attacking references individually" (MPEP 2145.IV). The examiner believes that one of skill in the art would readily understand how and why the references would be combined.

